

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,812	04/18/2005	Mikiya Yamagishi	05677/0202587-US0	3687
· 7278 DARBY & DA	7590 03/12/2007 RBY P.C.		EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 525	7		KOTTER, KIP.T	
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
CHORTENED CTATUTOR	V DEDIOD OF DECRONGE	MAH DATE	DEL IVER	WMODE
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/12/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/531,812	YAMAGISHI, MIKIYA			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kip Kotter	3617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
,	•		by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 April 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
_	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/531,812

Art Unit: 3617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because figures 1-6, 8 and 10 are not suitable for publication. The use of shading in these figures has reduced legibility. See 37 CFR 1.84(m). Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality: the term "disk radial portion" should be changed to - spoke - to ensure consistency with art-accepted terminology.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 3-8 are objected to because of the following informality: the term "disk radial portion" should be changed to – **spoke** – to ensure consistency with art-accepted terminology.

Art Unit: 3617

4. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informality: - than - should be inserted between "less" and "the" for grammatical clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

5. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informality: "wheel base unit portion" in line 6 should be changed to – wheel base unit – for consistency and clarity.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 5, the term "to be" renders the claim indefinite, as it is not clear whether the shape of the disk radial portion is raised axially outward or solely capable of being raised axially outward.

Regarding claim 8, there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "the disk radial portions".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/531,812

Art Unit: 3617

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chase (U.S. Patent No. 5577809; previously cited and previously applied for claims 1 and 3-5).

Regarding claims 1 and 3-5, Chase discloses a vehicle wheel comprising: a wheel base unit 11 having a disk portion 18 and a rim portion 12; and a cover 20 attached to the wheel base unit portion 11, wherein the cover 20 has deformable narrow spoke portions 14b (the narrow spoke portions 14b deform when the cover 20 is attached to the wheel base unit portion 11) for partially covering along a radial direction of the wheel, a disk radial portion 18 interconnecting the rim portion 12 and a hub attachment portion 15 to be connected to an axle, with the deformable narrow spoke portions 14b provided with thin, deformable parts (unlabeled) covering the approximately middle part of the disk radial portion 18, wherein the deformable narrow spoke portions 14b of the cover 20 have an inside surface shape to come into contact with a covered surface of the outside surface of the disk radial portion 18 covered with the deformable narrow spoke portions 14b (as shown in Fig. 4, the cover 20 is in contact with web 14a, part of the disk radial portion 18, near fastener 40), wherein the deformable narrow spoke portions 14b have side edges (at 38 in Fig. 4) to come into contact with a covered surface of the disk radial portion 18, and the disk radial portion 18 of the wheel base unit is shaped to be raised axially outward as shown in Fig. 5.

Regarding claim 6, Chase discloses the thin, deformable part positioned so that side edge portions (edge portions of web 14a, part of the disk radial portion 18, form recesses 38 in Fig.

Art Unit: 3617

4) of the disk radial portion on either side of the thin, deformable part are visible and extend radially outward from side edges of the thin deformable part as shown in Fig. 4.

Regarding claim 7, Chase discloses the deformable narrow spoke portion 14b covering less than the entire surface of the disk radial portion 18 as shown in Fig. 4 wherein the edge portions of the web 14a, part of the disk radial portion 18, are not covered.

Regarding claim 8, Chase discloses a vehicle wheel comprising: wheel base unit 11 having a rim portion 12 and a disk portion 18, the disk portion 18 including a hub attachment portion 15 to be attached to an axle and a disk radial portion 14a interconnecting the rim portion 12 and the hub attachment portion 15; and a cover 20 attached to the wheel base unit portion 11, the cover 20 including deformable narrow spoke portions 14b (the narrow spoke portions 14b deform when the cover 20 is attached to the wheel base unit portion 11) for partially covering the disk radial portions 14a along a radial direction of the wheel while leaving part of the disk radial portions 14a exposed as shown in Fig. 4, wherein the deformable narrow spoke portions include thin deformable parts covering approximately a middle part of the disk radial portions 14a.

## Response to Amendment

10. The 112 rejection of claim 5 has not been addressed.

## Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed February 21, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the spokes in Chase entirely cover the underlying webs **14a** of the wheel. Inasmuch as "a disk radial portion" in claim 1 is undefined as being the wheel's spokes, "a disk radial portion" can be interpreted to be the entire disk or structure between the rim and the hub.

If "a disk radial portion" is interpreted to be the entire disk, the deformable narrow spoke portions **14b** of Chase only partially cover the disk radial portion along a radial direction of the wheel.

Furthermore, if "a disk radial portion" is interpreted as the structure or spoke between the rim and hub, Fig. 4 clearly shows the deformable narrow spoke portions 14b only partially covering the underlying webs 14a because the side edge portions of 14a that form recesses 38 are visible and exposed.

Moreover, Applicant argues that Chase does not suggest placing the spoke portion only in a middle part of the disk radial portion. Inasmuch as "the approximately middle part of the disk radial portion" in claim 1 is also undefined, "the approximately middle part of the disk radial portion" can be interpreted to be the approximately middle part of the entire disk or just the approximately middle part of the structure between the rim and hub, i.e., spoke.

With either interpretation, Chase discloses this limitation because the deformable narrow spoke portions 14b cover the approximately middle part of the entire disk and each deformable narrow spoke portion 14b covers the approximately middle part of each spoke 14a as shown in Fig. 4.

Art Unit: 3617

#### Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kip Kotter whose telephone number is (571) 272-7953. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-4:00pm est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KTK KTK

RUSSELL D. STORMER >
PRIMARY EXAMINER